

Consolidation of EVALUATION/FEEDBACK RESPONSES AND SUGGESTIONS

Refer to original on CCA "Members Only" Website for details

CCA Business Meeting on Zoom June 8 – 12, 2020

ON A SCALE OF 1[POOR] to 10[EXCELLENT]

1. Overall Schedule: COMMENTS: (14/35)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 9.2
Votes			1	8	4	22	Total 35

Positives: (just some of comments)

Excellent way to conduct much of our business.
I enjoyed seeing everyone and being able to participate
Liked discipline of beginning and ending on time
The time frame was very doable for Monday thru Friday
Accomplished a tremendous amount

Negatives and Suggestions:

Don't want to give up meeting in person at times
Some presentations were too long for the video meeting.
Meeting 1/2 hr longer w/ 2 or 3 10 min breaks.
Start the meeting with simpler proposal to work out bugs.
Allowing more time to cover material, flexibility with end time would have been helpful

2. Daily prayers and video: COMMENTS: (11/35)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 9.7
Votes			1	3	3	28	Total 35

Positives: (just some of comments)

Good variety of the prayer
Beautiful! Calming and healing, Length just right.
Prayerful, set the tone for what followed.
Thank you!

Negatives and Suggestions:

With current technology videos were choppy, maybe use slides instead of video.
I would love to see/pray with them again.
It would have been lovely to have a few moments of shared silence included

3. Newer Members Report: COMMENTS: (15/34)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 8.8
Votes	2	2	3	3	7	17	Total 34

Positives: (just some of comments)

Much preparation and energy went into the report.
The enthusiasm was contagious. The pictures were great.
Excellent! Made everyone feel they had been there.
Showed NM brought new life to CCA, and have passion/energy to carry us forward..
Pictures of sisters in Scotland/associations showed our bonds

Negatives and Suggestions:

Too long and not really accountability but presentation
I felt like it included a history lesson that didn't need to be part of the report.
Would have been better if not first on agenda.
Insufficient time to question/discuss/revise the 4 proposals.

4. W@WD Report: COMMENTS: (6/33)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 9.8
Votes				1	6	26	Total 33

Positives: (just some of comments)

Wonderful trio-thank you Claire, Celia & Elizabeth
Well presented. Good program, glad continuing, shows what we can do for the future
I believe this was an idea of the NM, connection to Dream Weavers excellent
“Story” of the WWD, origins and involvement as an ongoing formation initiative
Continue with the use of technology as means of deepening friendship.
Survey & evaluations indicate mandate in CCA to use zoom in various ways.

Negatives and Suggestions:

Seems kind of expensive—any way of knowing that?
I would love to see & hear presentation again—so much valuable information.

5. LT Accountability Report: COMMENTS: (15/34)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 9.9
Votes					4	30	Total 34

Positives: (just some of comments)

Overwhelmed/grateful for all the work done by team.
Unexpected curve balls that the team had to deal with.
Networking and collaboration w/ other associations
What they accomplished is simply staggering.

Negatives:

How could any new LT begin to follow this act???

6. Treasurer Report: COMMENTS: (8/32)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 10
Votes					1	31	Total 32

Positives: (just some of comments)

Brief but very clear in every way. Good template for future reports.
Factually amazing. Very well organized and presented.
Use of power point here was especially helpful
Ready to hand the job on to a new person.

7. Business Meeting/Process: COMMENTS: (12/35)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 9.5
Votes			1	5	5	24	Total 35

Positives: (just some of comments)

Thanks to LT, Celia and Stephanie It worked well. Technology is truly astounding.
Fortunate to have had the opportunity. Inclusive experience, many sisters present
Energy and enthusiasm very positive
For a first it went fairly well and we learned as we worked.
Everyone involved: worked so with patience and respect for everyone who spoke

Negatives and Suggestions:

Better the second day and following. Can't compare to “in person”
It improved as we went along w/Stephanie adapting statements as we discussed.
Biggest concerns are the effect it had on our process.
No time for informal talk about proposals/questions/concerns as at in-person meeting.
People need to be conscious of the limited time. Not repeating what others have said.
Needed a little more time for the discussions around the issues to be richer.
All proposals should have been handled individually, only one topic discussion
Issues mentioned were ignored (NM#1 name) or not dealt with (NM#4 – delegates)
Discussion became limited and we had to vote - running out of time.
Some communities may have felt their concerns and issues were not address.

8. Delegate Voting: COMMENTS: (9/30)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 9.5
Votes				5	6	19	Total 30

Positives: (just some of comments)

Celia made it simple, was patient with us old geezers. We were good once we caught on.
 Very appreciative of planning made this run smoothly.
 Wonderful to be able to display the results so quickly.

Negatives and Suggestions:

Why voting anonymous? Never has been at our in-person assemblies.
 Too much time was given to communities to go to their breakout rooms.
 Hoped everyone except delegates to be in one group while waiting for results.
 I would like to see this developed so everyone could witness the delegate voting.
 Needed to ask for feedback on anonymous voting from communities.
 Reason behind why delegate and/or Carmel would like to vote anonymously.

9. Stephanie Facilitation: COMMENTS: (14/34)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 9.8
Votes				2	2	30	Total 34

Positives: (just some of comments)

It is a gift beyond price to have Stephanie facilitate.
 Stephanie has an appreciation & understanding of CCA.
 She is very committed to the life and mission of CCA

Negatives and Suggestions:

I felt she was somewhat hampered by the technology in her ability to facilitate.
 Her notes were not displayed until the third day
 Putting notes up on the screen was a great help.
 Notes on screen should have happened on all proposals.

10. LT achieve 2018-2020 CCA Goals?: COMMENTS: (13/33)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 9.9
Votes					3	30	Total 33

Positives: (just some of comments)

Wonderful job under extraordinary circumstances.
 Sorry the Ghent meeting didn't happen. Presentation showed benefits of planning process.
 Built relationships on the national/international level, will not be lost in the future.
 It was obvious that our goals were the focus of their work.
 Cor Orans coming immediately election certainly added to already heavy duties.

11. Nancy Reynolds' Explanations/Comments?: COMMENTS: (14/34)

Scale	5	6	7	8	9	10	AVG 9.2
Votes			1	3	3	27	Total 34

Positives: (just some of comments)

Invaluable to have her expertise and practical comments, knows Rome politics.
 Helpful having Canon Lawyer available to reflect on ideas,
 Excellent at what she does and was very candid with us.
 Appreciated her recognition, that asking other Lawyers will get other answers
 Shed light on how to interpret the law, how to be flexible but respect law,
 Helpful for everyone to hear the information together directly from Nancy
 Inspired confidence, will be a good guide as we move forward. Keep her as consultant.

Negatives and Suggestions:

More accustomed to a looser approach to legislation, which we can still do.
 Maybe some in-between virtual meetings (with Nancy) would have been helpful?
 I felt that some of her answers could have been more concise considering time.

12. Please share specific comments & suggestions: Comments: (17/35)

Positives: (just some of comments)

Seeing Sisters, not seen in years, well and functioning was a treat.
Pleasantly surprised by how smoothly everything went. The next best to being there.
Really a good way to meet with everyone present
I can't begin to imagine all the time and planning that went into our 1st virtual meeting.
Most of all, thank you very, much, BT, Monika, Roz, Cecelia for all your loving service.
Monika, thank you for the two terms you've had
Roz, thank you for being willing to continue on the LT. Great continuity!
I know the new team will continue to build on all you have done for us these past years.
I could not rate this groundbreaking meeting with anything except a 10.
The LT can definitely count this meeting as a great success
It works to meet/make decisions by ZOOM. This is important development for future.
Meeting virtually was also inclusive of everyone participating, which is a huge plus!
Amazing that we got so much accomplished with such ease.
I think that shared services will be very helpful for communities in diminishment

Negatives and Suggestions:

It was painful not to be able to hug and talk to individual
I see two major downsides:
Our conversations and discussions together are an important part of who we are.
I also greatly miss the enrichment of having the international sisters with us.
Proposals shouldn't have multiple parts or each part should have own discussion and vote
Can the Zoom Chat feature be enabled at future Zoom meetings?
Would be glad to see us use it enough during interim that it becomes very familiar to us.
Hope we could find a way to have international sisters a part of national meeting.
At in-person meeting, it will be important to find ways for everyone to join-in by Zoom.
ZOOM technology can help build a sense of 'ownership' for the Association.
Do business via Zoom and include many more of the nuns.
Save our regular meeting for in depth exploration of spirituality or other field of interest
At Zoom business meetings, the facilitator should be in charge, her notes visible
Simplest proposal should be first on the agenda to make sure the "Process" is working.
Pre Meeting prep:
have people, who have questions or comments about proposals, submit them to the LT in writing before a virtual meeting. If the LT thinks there should be some discussion between the proposal submitters and questioners it could be handled ahead of time and perhaps the proposals amended to address concerns.